



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/22/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/051,547	04/07/1998	TAKAO YAMAGUCHI	MTS-2570	8127
75	90 11/22/2004		EXAM	INER
RATNER & P		WONG, ALLEN C		
SUITE 301 ONE WESTLAKES BERWYN PO BOX 980		N	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
VALLEY FORGE, PA 194820980			2613	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)	97			
Advisory Action	09/051,547	YAMAGUCHI ET AL	<b></b>			
Advisory Addion	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Allen Wong	2613	4			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 19 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ree have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official terms adjustment.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mai	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION.  R 1.136(a) and the approper of the fee. The appropriation of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a)  they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);				
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);					
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	mplifying the			
(d)  they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	S.			
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		dered but does NO	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		,				
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 1,3-15,20,21 and 24-31.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b)  disapproved by t	he Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s). n	nail date 8/19/04.				
10. Other:						
	۶,					
		Wille				
	$\mathcal{M}$		<i></i>			
		~ /				

Part of Paper No. 111504

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: all of the broad limitations of the claims were addressed in the previous Office Action, paper no.32. On page 3-5 of applicant's remarks, applicant argues that these four references, Lane, Clapp, Caldara and Hernandez-Valencia, cannot be combined as a whole. The examiner respectfully disagrees. In response to applicant's argument that the examiner has combined an excessive number of references, reliance on a large number of references in a rejection does not, without more, weigh against the obviousness of the claimed invention. See In re Gorman, 933 F.2d 982, 18 USPQ2d 1885 (Fed. Cir. 1991). Furthermore, Hernandez-Valencia teaches discarding a picture includes discarding an end-of-frame, as disclosed in col.9, In.29-46. Hernandez-Valencia discloses that cells belonging to the non-conforming frames, including end-of-frame, are discarded, meaning that the end-of-frame is tossed out. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the teachings of Lane, Clapp, Caldara and Hernandez-Valencia, as a whole, for efficiently transmitting frame data by clearly, distinctly identify conformance of frames so as to smoothly transmit frame data (Hernandez-Valencia col.2, In.48-56). Moreover, the aforementioned four references are considered to be combinable because they all pertain to the same image processing environment. The IDS submitted on 8/19/04 have been considered by the examiner and hereby attached to this current Office Action.